A Comparative Study of Different Columns Sizes for Ultrafine Apatite Flotation
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Abstract: The desliming operation to discharge ultrafine particles less than 20 µm prior to concentration by flotation is a common practice in phosphate ores beneficiation plants. The first industrial application for the beneficiation of the phosphate material with particle sizes <44 µm in Brazil was in the Araxá plant concentrator in the beginning of the 1980s. This work shows the comparative flotation results with two different phosphate slime samples (<40 µm) obtained from the Copebras (CMOC International) industrial plant located in Catalão (Goiás state, Brazil), considering a circuit with rougher/cleaner configuration with different columns sizes, as follows: Circuit 1 (rougher—4” diameter column; cleaner—2” diameter column) and circuit 2 (rougher—6” diameter column; cleaner 4” column). The results indicate that better flotation apatite recovery results were achieved for the circuit with higher size columns (6” and 4”). The results can be explained by the application of a cavitation tube in the rougher stage in the 6” column. The improved flotation performance can be attributed to increased probabilities of collision and attachment and the reduced probability of detachment by the small size bubbles generated by the cavitation tube in comparison with the bubbles produced by the porous tube of the 4” column flotation.
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1. Introduction

Flotation is considered the most effective technology to concentrate phosphate bearing minerals from sedimentary and igneous phosphates ores [1–3]. One of the main disadvantages of phosphate upgrading by flotation is the high sensitivity of collectors to slimes (size fraction <44 µm). Therefore, desliming is a necessary pre-request for successful flotation [4–7] and, following this trend, the classical aim of desliming is to remove fine particles (<37–45 µm) from the flotation feed. Fine/ultrafine particles account for 10–30% of losses of phosphate values in the worldwide beneficiation of phosphate ores, for instance, in Florida [8], India [9], Iran [3], Australia [6], Jordan [10], China [11], and Brazil [12–15].

There are several characterization and process studies focused on the exploitation of phosphate bearing minerals from slimes. In the case of slimes from phosphate ores from Florida, chemical and physical characterizations of slimes show that the P2O5 content ranges from 10% to 25% and the particle size distribution indicates that d80 are under 30 µm with significant amount (>10–30%) under 2–5 µm. Additionally, the mineralogical composition of the slimes indicates a high content of clay minerals, which are extremely deleterious to the flotation process [8]. Similar chemical and physical characteristics of the slimes can be found in phosphate ores from Brazil [13–15], Australia [6], and India [9]. Regarding the technological approaches to the concentration of ultrafine phosphate bearing
minerals from slimes, one strategy is based on desliming in hydrocyclones with low diameters (40 mm) to remove particles under 5–10 µm, followed by the flotation of the desliming product [8,13–15]. Other alternatives tested as well were flotation using a Jameson Cell [6], column flotation [3,16], and also flotation with nanobubble injection in conventional flotation machines without desliming prior to flotation [3].

Pradip and Sankar [9] carried out studies with a slime sample from the Maton rock phosphate beneficiation plant located in Rajasthan, India. One of the major sources of losses in phosphate values is due to slimes generated during the washing of the crushed ore product, called “crusher slimes”. The slimes fraction (75%; 37 µm) consists of carbonate-fluorapatite in association with quartz, kaolinite, and limonite, and assays 18–21% P₂O₅, accounting for 10–15% losses of phosphate values in the plant. In this work, the authors concluded that it is possible to float phosphate ore slimes, all passing 37 µm (50%; 8 µm), from Maton, India using a sodium oleate collector, under reagent conditions very different from what is required and currently practiced in the plant for a relatively coarser feed (80%; 74 µm). It should also be possible to condition the slimes separately and then combine them with the coarse ore feed in the existing flotation circuit in the plant.

In contrast with the established methods of phosphate beneficiation where classification by hydrocyclone is mainly used to remove ultrafine particles as tailings, Teague and Lollback [6] describe a process which successfully beneficiates phosphate ore with a high proportion of ultrafine particles (80% <20 µm) to be recovered via flotation without prior classification to remove ultrafine particles (<2–5 µm). The process uses conditioning with reagents at high wt % solids (at least 70 wt %) and flotation with Jameson cells in a rougher, scavenger, cleaner configuration to recover at least 80% P₂O₅ at a grade of 32% P₂O₅ or greater. The Jameson cell was found to have an advantage over conventional flotation cells when treating ultrafine particles, due to their intense mixing zone and propensity to form small bubbles. Pourkarimi et al. [3] carried out studies with a slime sample from the Esfori phosphate processing plant from the central desert in Iran in Yazd province. The ore contained about 10% of the mass, with a grade of more than 16% P₂O₅ and d₈₀ of less than 30 µm. The authors compared the results considering flotation in the presence and absence of nanobubbles using a Denver flotation cell and carried out the generation of nanobubbles based on the cavitation phenomenon through a venturi tube. The flotation results indicated that the existence of nanobubbles in the process had positive effects, so that more than 90% of phosphates with a grade of more than 40% were recoverable in the presence of nanobubbles, while in their absence, under the same conditions, the P₂O₅ grade was 37%. The recovery of apatite in flotation in the presence of nanobubbles significantly increased up to more than 30%, compared to flotation in the absence of nanobubbles.

One alternative to the flotation of phosphate slimes is the application of the column flotation [10,12–16]. Al-Thyabat et al. [7] evaluated the floatability of Jordanian phosphate slime (<38 µm) in a batch column flotation cell, 100 cm high and 5 cm in diameter. Flotation was conducted using sodium oleate as a collector and methyl isobutyl carbinol (MIBC) as a frother. Rougher flotation yielded a concentrate assaying 29.19% P₂O₅ with 90.29% recovery at the following flotation parameters:

- A total 2.6 cm/s superficial gas velocity, 20 ppm frother dosage, 0.205 mmol/L collector concentration, and 40% weight solids. It was also found that conditioning with the same collector dosage, but with a different solids weight percent, gave different flotation results.

The Brazilian phosphate industry has made great efforts to develop technology for the production of phosphates from slimes as shown by Guimarães and Peres [12–14]. The first industrial application was in the Araxá concentrator in the beginning of the 1980s, in a circuit consisting of desliming in 40 mm hydrocyclones and apatite flotation in column machines. This technology has been upgraded since 1984 by means of significant improvements in the desliming operation, concerning equipment and process control, utilization of a more adequate reagent system, and the use of flotation machines that provide improved performance and metallurgical yield. The concept of this process was still expanded to other Brazilian plants located in Catalão (Goiás state), Tapira (Minas Gerais state), and Cajati (São Paulo state) in the 1990s. It is estimated that the apatite concentrate from slimes represents...
11% to 13% of the overall production. The apatite concentrate grade achieved from slimes are $P_2O_5$ at 33.0–35%; $Fe_2O_3$ at 3.0–6.5%; $Al_2O_3$ at 0.4–1.0%; $MgO$ at 0.1–1.6%, and $SiO_2$ at 1.5–5.5%. More recently, Matiolo et al. [15] showed the results of a flowsheet developed for apatite concentration from a slime sample provided by the industrial phosphate ore plant Copebras (China Molybdenum-CMOC International), located in Catalão (Goiás state, Brazil). Considering the flowsheet with the desliming in the hydrocyclones (40 mm in diameter) in two stages, followed by apatite flotation in the column, a final concentrate of 35.6% $P_2O_5$ was obtained, with main impurities of $Fe_2O_3$ and $SiO_2$ around 4.0% and 5.1%, respectively, using only rougher flotation. Taking into account the mass and metallurgical balances of desliming and flotation, the overall mass recovery was around 9.5% and the $P_2O_5$ recovery was 25.5%.

This work is the continuation of previous work with the Copebras phosphate slime samples. by Matiolo et al [15] This article does a comparison considering a circuit with rougher/cleaner configuration with different sized columns flotations, as follows: Circuit 1 (ro—ugher4” diameter column; cleaner—2” diameter column), and circuit 2 (rougther—6” diameter column; cleaner 4” column). The influence of collector and depressant dosages, pulp pH, superficial wash water velocity ($J_W$), ore variability, and superficial air velocity ($J_g$) were evaluated on the flotation separation parameters ($P_2O_5$ grade and recovery and impurities content) for both circuits. The chemical quality target for the apatite concentrate is $P_2O_5 > 33.0\%, SiO_2 < 8\%$, and $Fe_2O_3 < 6.5\%$.

2. Experimental

2.1. Ore Sample

The two slime samples tested in this work were provided by Copebras (CMOC International) located in Catalão (Goiás state), Brazil. Detailed information on the sample preparation procedures can be found in the study by Matiolo et al. [15]. The slime samples were collected from the overflow of the hydrocyclones, which was around 10 tons (dry basis) of the slime in pulp with 16% solid content by weight. The sample characterization included chemical analyses by X-ray fluorescence (PANalytical AXIOS WDS, PANalytical, Almero, the Netherlands) and mineralogical characterization by XDR (Rietveld method) (Bruker-D4 Endeavor, Bruker, Germany). The particle size distribution was determined by the laser diffraction technique using a Malvern Master size particle analyzer (Malvern Pananalytical Ltd., Malvern, UK).

The particle size distribution of slime Sample 1 showed that the characteristics for diameters $D_{32}$, $D_{10}$, $D_{50}$, and $D_{90}$ were, respectively, 2.6 µm, 1.0 µm, 5.7 µm, and 24.7 µm, whereas for Sample 2 the values were $D_{32} = 5.0 \mu m$, $D_{10} = 2.1 \mu m$, $D_{50} = 13.8 \mu m$, and $D_{90} = 43 \mu m$. The chemical analyses for both samples showed that the $P_2O_5$ grade was around 12–13% and the $CaO$ was around 11–14%. In terms of the major contaminants, $SiO_2$ and $Fe_2O_3$ stood out, with grades of 19.7% and 27.7% for Sample 1 and 15.8% and 24.8% for Sample 2, respectively (Table 1). The main mineral phases in the samples were apatite (around 33%), goethite with a 26% grade content, and quartz with 18%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>BaO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Fe$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>P$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Nb$_2$O$_5$</th>
<th>CaO/P$_2$O$_5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Desliming

Figure 1 shows the apparatus for the desliming operation of the slime samples. Each container, holding 1 m$^3$ (40 in total) of the slime sample, was connected to a vertical pump with a system that allowed pulp recirculation to the container and also to a 3.6 m$^3$ tank. In this tank, water was added to adjust the solids in the pulp to around 8%, which represented the solids to the feed of the desliming
stage. From the storage tank, the pulp was pumped to the hydrocyclone apparatus. Desliming was carried out in two stages, where the underflow from the first stage fed the second stage. The underflow of the second stage fed the apatite flotation and the overflow from both stages was discharged as tailings. The hydrocyclone used was supplied by Weir Minerals. The apex finder was 7 mm in the first stage and 5.5 mm in the second. In both stages, the vortex finder was 10 mm and the operational pressure was 4 kgf/cm² in the first stage and 3 kgf/cm² in the second.

![Figure 1. Apparatus for the desliming operation.](image)

3. Flotation Studies

3.1. Reagents

Soybean oil soap was used as an apatite collector and was obtained after saponification for a period of 15 min with a fatty acid/NaOH ratio of 5:1. Gelatinized corn starch was used as the gangue mineral depressant, with a starch/NaOH ratio of 4:1 and a reaction time of 10 min, in a solution with concentration at 20% w/w. After the saponification and gelatinization, both reagents were diluted in distilled water, producing 1% w/w solutions. For pH adjustment, a 2% NaOH solution was used. Tap water from the city of Rio de Janeiro’s supply network was used to attain the correct percentage of pulp solids in the flotation studies.

3.2. Pilot Plant Flotation Tests

Figure 2a shows the schematic flowsheet considering the desliming and flotation stages for apatite concentration from the slime samples and Figure 2b shows a general view of the columns at CETEM’s pilot plant (ERIEZ, Delta, Canada). The underflow obtained in the second stage of desliming, at 35% solid content by weight, fed the flotation circuit. The rougher/cleaner flotation trials were performed using columns with internal diameters of 6”, 4”, and 2” and a height of 7.0 m. The effective volume of each column was 100 L, 46 L, and 13 L, respectively. The main objective of this study was to compare the flotation performance considering a rougher/cleaner circuit for apatite flotation with the following two different circuits: Circuit 1 (rougher—4” diameter column; cleaner—2” diameter column) and circuit 2 (rougher—6” diameter column; cleaner 4” column). The solid feed rate in each circuit was 35 kg/h and 46 kg/h dry basis, respectively.

From the storage tank, the pulp was pumped to a cylindrical tank where it was conditioned with gelatinized corn starch and the pH was adjusted (between 9.5 and 10.8) with NaOH. The depressant dosage ranged from 2300 g/t up to 3000 g/t. After conditioning with the depressant, the pulp was conditioned with soybean oil soap in a cylindrical tank, at 35% solids by weight, with dosages from 70 g/t to 130 g/t. The mean residence time for conditioning was 10 min for the depressant and around 20 min for the collector. After conditioning, the pulp was diluted to 20% solids by weight and fed in the rougher column. The froth obtained in the rougher fed the cleaner, and the sink fractions from rougher and cleaner were discharged as final tailings. Bubbles were generated with controlled pressure and...
flow via a forced air passage in a porous tube at the bottom of the 4” and 2” columns. The bubble generation of the 6” column flotation was through the recirculation of a portion of the pulp through a cavitation tube. The operational pressure on the cavitation was around 3.5 kgf/cm². The pulp/froth interface was controlled by a level sensor that was connected to the tailings pump and the wash water and air flow rate were controlled by a flow meter. After reaching the stationary stage, samples of the rougher and cleaner tailings and cleaner concentrate were collected simultaneously for 2 min for mass and metallurgical balances. The samples were flocculated and dried in an oven for 24 h at 80 °C. Then, the samples were weighed, disaggregated, and separated in aliquots for chemical analysis by X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy to determine the metallurgical balance.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Schematic flowsheet for the apatite concentration from (a) the slime samples and photo from (b) the column flotation units.

### 4. Results and Discussion

#### 4.1. Desliming

The mass and oxide balance for the optimized desliming conditions for Sample 1 are shown in Table 2. The feed of the first desliming stage contained 8% solids by weight and produced an underflow with 16% solids by weight and an overflow with 2–3% solids by weight, which was discharged as final tailings. The mass and P₂O₅ recoveries of the underflow were 70% and 78%, respectively. The P₂O₅ grade increased from 13.3% at the feed to 14.3% in the underflow. The P₂O₅ in the overflow was 10.1%. The underflow of the first stage fed the second stage, which was carried out in the same hydrocyclone applied in the first stage with an apex finder of 5.5 mm and the operation pressure was regulated to 3 kgf/cm². The solids content in the overflow of the second stage was 4–5%, the d₅₀ was 5 µm, and the P₂O₅ grade was 11% and was discharged with the final tailings together with the overflow produced at the first stage. The solids content in the underflow of the second stage was 35–36%, the d₅₀ was 18 µm, and the P₂O₅ grade was 16.4%. The mass and P₂O₅ recoveries at the second stage were very similar to the first stage, reaching 70% and 77%. The underflow obtained at the second stage fed the conditioning circuit and then the flotation circuit. Considering both stages, the overall mass recovery was around 50% and the P₂O₅ recovery was 60%. Regarding the SiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ contaminants, it was observed that the iron impurities tended to decrease after desliming, as opposed to what was observed for the SiO₂ content, which tended to increase after this process.

The results of the desliming stage for Sample 2 are shown in Table 3. The P₂O₅ content at the flotation feed (underflow of second stage) was quite similar to that obtained for Sample 1, assaying around 16%. Regarding the SiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ impurities, a reduction of the iron bearing minerals content in the flotation feed was observed when compared to the feed sample, as observed in Sample 1. The SiO₂ content in the flotation feed was almost the same as that in the feed process sample.
4.2. Flotation Studies

This topic presents the results and discussion of the apatite flotation studies considering rougher/cleaner flotation in 6”, 4”, and 2” columns. As mentioned before, one circuit consisted of the application of a 6” column for the rougher stage and a 4” column for the cleaner. The second circuit applied the 4” column for rougher flotation and a 2” column for the cleaner. The influence of collector and depressant dosages, wash water superficial velocity, pH, and air superficial velocity were evaluated on flotation separation parameters. The flotation recovery was calculated as a function of the hydrocyclone underflow (flotation feed).

Figure 3 shows the curve of the P$_2$O$_5$ grade versus the recovery, considering apatite rougher/cleaner configuration for both circuits evaluated. As shown in the figure, the P$_2$O$_5$ recovery ranged from 80% to 25% with a P$_2$O$_5$ grade variation from 22% to almost 38%. In general terms, the flotation performance (grade and recovery) in the circuit with 6” and 4” columns was better compared to the circuit with 4” and 2” columns, especially for the P$_2$O$_5$ grade between 30% and 34%.

Figure 4a shows the comparative results for the P$_2$O$_5$ grade/recovery curve considering the rougher flotation in the 6” and 4” columns and for cleaner flotation considering the 4” and 2” columns (Figure 4b). The results for the circuit applied in the 6” and 4” columns were obtained with the flotation of Samples 1 and 2 and considering the Sample 1 flotation for the circuit with the 4” and 2” diameter columns. The performance (grade and recovery) of rougher flotation in the 6” diameter column was superior to the results obtained for the 4” diameter column. As it can be observed, the P$_2$O$_5$ recovery ranged from 90% to 40%, with a P$_2$O$_5$ grade variation from 17% to almost 34%. Furthermore, a linear relation between the P$_2$O$_5$ grade and losses was verified for the rougher tailings.

A fundamental difference between both columns is the fact that the bubble generator of the 6” column is a cavitation tube, while the bubble generator of the 4” column is a porous tube. Hydrodynamic cavitation to generate bubbles has been used to enhanced the flotation of fine and ultrafine particles [17–19]. Tao et al. [17] evaluated the effect of picobubble injection produced by the hydrodynamic cavitation principle in association with the conventional sized bubbles produced by a static mixer on the flotation response of fine coal particles. The results indicated that picobubbles significantly enhanced the coal flotation process with higher recovery and lower product ash. The flotation recovery increased by 10–30% depending on the process operating conditions. Zhou et al. [18] incorporated a cavitation tube in the feed line to a conventional flotation cell for the flotation of fine silica and ZnS precipitates (<5 µm). The results showed that there is a substantial increase in fine silica recovery for a given flotation period when using the cavitation tube (without
added air). Additionally, a 40% increase in rate constant was obtained using a cavitation tube (1.3 mm nozzle diameter), even though the overall aeration was less (2.15 L/min compared to 3 L/min without the tube). This increase in the flotation rate constant again suggests that small bubbles generated by cavitation in the feed stream played a role in enhancing flotation kinetics.

Figure 3. \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) grade/recovery curves for rougher/cleaner flotation. Comparative results of the circuit with 6” and 4” and 4” and 2” column flotation.

Figure 4. \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) grade/recovery curves for rougher (a) and cleaner (b) stages. Comparative results for rougher flotation in 6” and 4” column flotation and for cleaner flotation in 4” and 2” column flotation.

As for cleaner flotation, it could be observed that the results obtained in the flotation with the 2” column showed a higher distribution compared to the results obtained for the 4” column. This can be explained by the fact that cleaner flotation in the 2” column had more process variables tested, focused on optimization of this stage, including changes in the superficial air velocity (Jg) and wash water (Jw), besides the reagents dosage variation. For the flotation in the 4” column as a cleaner, only the effect of the collector, depressant dosage, and pH were evaluated for Jg and the Jw kept fixed at 0.51 cm/s and 0.20 cm/s, respectively. The \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) losses on the cleaner flotation with the 4” column ranged from 2% to a maximum of 12%, considering the \( \text{P}_2\text{O}_5 \) grade variation from 9% to 25%. On the other hand, it could be observed that the cleaner flotation in the 2” column showed a much higher variation, especially...
for the P$_2$O$_5$ losses, which ranged from 10% to values up to 24% for the similar P$_2$O$_5$ content in the sink fraction.

The relation between the P$_2$O$_5$ grade in the final apatite concentrate and the content of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$ impurities for both circuits evaluated are shown in Figure 5. The variation of the Fe$_2$O$_3$ content in the final apatite concentrate is very similar for both circuits and it is independent of the sample. The SiO$_2$ content has the same trend observed for the iron impurities in Sample 1 for both circuits, whereas the SiO$_2$ content in the final concentration of Sample 2 is significantly lower than that obtained for Sample 1. These results indicate that contamination of the apatite concentrate strongly depends on the ore characteristics that feed the plant and it is less influenced by the scale tested. As shown before, the SiO$_2$ grade in Sample 2 is much lower when compared with Sample 1, unlike the iron content, which is quite similar in both samples.

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** Relation between the P$_2$O$_5$ grade and Fe$_2$O$_3$ (a) and SiO$_2$ (b) impurities in the final apatite concentrate for both circuits. Flotation studies with Samples 1 and 2.

A summary of the best results achieved using rougher/cleaner configuration for the circuit with the 4” and 2” columns is shown in Table 4 for the flotation studies with Sample 1. Considering the average results for the three tests, mass recovery was around 23% and P$_2$O$_5$ recovery and grade were 54% and 35.2%, respectively. The SiO$_2$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ impurities levels were 3.0% and 5.2%. Reagent consumptions were 117 g/t to the collector, around 2700 g/t to the depressant, and 410 g/t to NaOH and the pulp pH was fixed at 9.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Grade (%)</th>
<th>Consumption (g/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Summary of the best results obtained in experiments at 4” and 2” column flotation. Rougher/cleaner. Feed solids rate: 35 kg/h; pH = 9.7. Flotation studies with Sample 1.

Table 5 shows the effect of pulp pH variation, for the values 9.7 and 10.8, on the flotation performance for the experiments using the 6” and 4” columns in rougher/cleaner configuration in experiments with Sample 1. The collector dosage was 130 g/t and the depressant was 2900 g/t. It can be observed that a reduction of the pulp pH from 10.8 to 9.7 decreases the P$_2$O$_5$ content in the concentrate, going from 33.4% to 31.4%. The reason for the dilution of the concentrate is exclusively caused by the increase of the SiO$_2$ from 5.3% to values around 10%, since the iron content is virtually the same. The increase in mass recovery, from 34.6% to 36.9% with the decrease of the pH value, is due the
increase in the SiO$_2$ content once the P$_2$O$_5$ recovery is almost the same for both pH values evaluated, reaching 72%.

Table 5. Effect of pH variation on the flotation performance for experiments at 6” and 4” column flotation. Rougher/cleaner. Feed solids rate: 45 kg/h. Flotation studies with sample 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Grade (%)</th>
<th>Consumption (g/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flotation results for Sample 2 in the experiments carried out with the 6” and 4” columns, are shown in Table 6. The average collector dosage for the four tests was around 80 g/t and the depressant was 2600 g/t at the pulp pH of 9.7. The average mass and P$_2$O$_5$ recovery were 27% and 51% for a P$_2$O$_5$ grade of 32.8%. Compared to the results obtained from Sample 1, the SiO$_2$ content in the final concentrate was much lower in the experiments with Sample 2, reaching an average grade of 1.0%. On the other hand, the iron content is very similar for both samples. The lower SiO$_2$ content reached in the concentrate of Sample 2 can be explained by the lower grade in the slime feed sample that was analyzed at 12.5%, compared with the 19.7% of Sample 1.

Table 6. Summary of the best results obtained in experiments at 6” and 4” column flotation. Rougher/cleaner. Feed solids rate: 45 kg/h. pH = 9.7. Flotation studies with Sample 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Grade (%)</th>
<th>Consumption (g/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>33.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the reagent consumption (collector and depressant), it was observed that the average collector dosage is similar to the levels applied at the industrial scale in Brazilian plants. On the other hand, the depressant dosage is much higher, reaching values up to 2500 g/t. Usually, the depressant dosage does not exceed 1200–1300 g/t. Matiolo et al [15] evaluated the depressant dosage on apatite flotation from slimes. The results indicated that both parameters (P$_2$O$_5$ grade and recovery) improve when the depressant dosage increases from 1230 g/t to values reaching higher than 1700 g/t. It was also found that to control the iron impurities in the final flotation concentrate, the depressant dosage must be up 2200 g/t to a maximum of 3000 g/t.

A similar approach to that evaluated in this study of recovering the valuable fine phosphate particles (<45 µm) from their slimes through the application of the column flotation technique was tested by Abdel-Khalek [20]. Tests were performed using oleic acid as a collector for the phosphate minerals and sodium silicate as a depressant for their associated gangues. The main operating parameters affecting the performance of column flotation were investigated. The results indicate that the best operating conditions for column flotation of phosphate slimes are as follows: A superficial
gas velocity of 0.84 cm/s, a frother concentration of 0.1 kg/ton, a column height of 230.5 cm, and a superficial water velocity of 2.2 cm/s. Under these conditions, a product assaying 25.3% \( P_2O_5 \) and 14.64% I.R. (insoluble residue), with a \( P_2O_5 \) recovery of about 51.52%, is obtained from a feed containing 18.26% \( P_2O_5 \) and 24.03% I.R. Such grades and recoveries are not obtained by applying the conventional froth flotation technique, even after cleaning the rougher concentrate. Recovery of more than 50% of phosphate from disposed slimes will improve the economic viability of the beneficiation process for phosphate ores. It will also help to solve the environmental problems associated with the disposal of these slimes.

5. Conclusions

It was possible to obtain apatite concentrates from two different slime samples with \( P_2O_5 \) grades higher than 33%, which can be applied to SSP (single superphosphate) and TSP (triple superphosphate) production. Considering desliming and flotation, the overall mass recovery ranged from 9% to 12% and \( P_2O_5 \) recovery ranged between 29–42%. It is estimated that the earnings obtained by recovery of the apatite from slimes may represent an increase in 4% of the overall \( P_2O_5 \) recovery at industrial plants. The results obtained in this study indicate that the flotation results were better in the circuits with higher column flotation (6" and 4"). The results can be explained by the application of a cavitation tube in the rougher stage in the 6" column. The improved flotation performance can be attributed to increased probabilities of collision and attachment and the reduced probability of detachment by the small size bubbles generated by the cavitation tube in comparison to the bubbles produced by the porous tube of the 4" column flotation.
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